

THE GREEN RINGS OF POINTED TENSOR CATEGORIES OF FINITE TYPE

HUA-LIN HUANG, FRED VAN OYSTAEYEN, YUPING YANG, AND YINHUO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we compute the Clebsch-Gordan formulae and the Green rings of connected pointed tensor categories of finite type.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and (co)algebras, (co)modules, categories, etc are over k . Concepts and notations about tensor categories are adopted from [7].

Let \mathcal{C} be a tensor category, that is, a locally finite abelian rigid monoidal category in which the neutral object is simple. Note that the Jordan-Hölder theorem and the Krull-Schmidt theorem hold in \mathcal{C} . For each $M \in \mathcal{C}$, let $[M]$ denote the associated iso-class. The Green ring $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is the ring with generators the iso-classes $[M]$ of \mathcal{C} , and relations

$$[M] + [N] = [M \oplus N], \quad [M] \cdot [N] = [M \otimes N].$$

By the Krull-Schmidt theorem, the ring $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module, and the set of indecomposable iso-classes of \mathcal{C} forms a basis. When $\mathcal{C} = H\text{-mod}$, where H is a Hopf algebra, the ring $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$ is also called the Green ring of H .

The Green ring $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$ provides a convenient way of organizing information about direct sums and tensor products of \mathcal{C} . When \mathcal{C} is the category of modular representations of a finite group, the ring $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$ was investigated systematically for the first time by J. A. Green [9], hence the notion Green ring is widely used in literature. Green rings have played an important role in the modular representation theory, see [2] and references therein.

Without a doubt, Green rings should be equally important in the study of those tensor categories of (co)modules over (co)quasi-Hopf algebras (or quasi-quantum groups) which are not semisimple, see [7, 8]. However, so far there are not many results obtained about the Green rings of such tensor categories due to the obvious complexity. In [16], the Green ring (called representation ring there) of the quantum double of a finite group was described by a direct sum decomposition. In a subsequent work [17], the Green ring of the twisted quantum double of a finite group was considered and some results about the Grothendieck ring, that is the quotient of the Green ring by the ideal of short exact sequences, were obtained. Recently, the Green rings of the Taft algebras and the generalized Taft algebras were presented by generators and relations in [3] and [14] respectively.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19A22, 18D10, 16G20.

Key words and phrases. Green ring, tensor category, quiver representation.

The aim of this paper is to compute the Clebsch-Gordan formulae and to present the Green rings of pointed tensor categories of finite type as classified in [13]. Such tensor categories are actually the comodule categories of some pointed coquasi-Hopf algebras of finite corepresentation type, or equivalently the module categories of some elementary quasi-Hopf algebras of finite representation type, in which there are only finitely many iso-classes of indecomposable objects, and as such their Green rings are suitable to study. Among them, the module categories of the Taft and generalized Taft algebras are particular examples. In addition, these tensor categories can be presented by quiver representations and we can take full advantage of the handy quiver techniques (see e.g. [1, 18]) for the computations. In Section 2, we recall some necessary facts about pointed tensor categories of finite type. The Clebsch-Gordan formulae for such tensor categories are computed in Section 3. Finally in Section 4, the Green rings are determined. The results obtained here extend those in [5, 3, 14] to a much greater range in a unified way.

2. POINTED TENSOR CATEGORIES OF FINITE TYPE

In this section, we recall pointed tensor categories of finite type and their presentations via quiver representations.

2.1. Let $n > 1$ be a positive integer and $C_n = \langle g | g^n = 1 \rangle$ the cyclic group of order n . By Z_n we denote the cyclic quiver with vertices indexed by C_n and with arrows $a_i : g^i \rightarrow g^{i+1}$ where the indices i are understood as integers modulo n . Let p_i^l denote the path $a_{i+l-1} \cdots a_{i+1} a_i$ of length l . The k -span of $\{p_i^l \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1, l \geq 0\}$ is called the associated path space of the quiver Z_n , and denoted by kZ_n .

From now on, let m' denote the remainder of the division of the integer m by n . There is a natural coalgebra structure on kZ_n with coproduct and counit given by

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta(p_i^l) = \sum_{m=0}^l p_{(i+m)'}^{l-m} \otimes p_i^m, \quad \varepsilon(p_i^l) = \delta_{l,0} = \begin{cases} 1, & l=0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is the so-called path coalgebra of the quiver Z_n .

2.2. The quiver Z_n is in fact a Hopf quiver in the sense of [6]. By [10], there exist graded coquasi-Hopf algebra, also known as Majid algebra, structures on the path coalgebra kZ_n . Moreover, the graded coquasi-Hopf structures are parameterized by some set of 1-dimensional projective representation of C_n , see [11] for a general theorem.

Explicit graded coquasi-Hopf structures on kZ_n are given in [13]. To state this result, firstly we need to fix some notations. For any $\hbar \in k$, define $m_{\hbar} = 1 + \hbar + \cdots + \hbar^{m-1}$ and $m!_{\hbar} = 1_{\hbar} \cdots m_{\hbar}$. The Gaussian binomial coefficient is defined by $\binom{m+n}{m}_{\hbar} := \frac{(m+n)!_{\hbar}}{m!_{\hbar} n!_{\hbar}}$. Let q be a primitive n -th root of unity. Assume $0 \leq s \leq n-1$ is an integer and q an n -th root of q^s . For each pair (s, q) , there is a unique graded coquasi-Hopf algebra $kZ_n(s, q)$ on kZ_n with multiplication given by

$$(2.2) \quad p_i^l \cdot p_j^m = q^{-sjl} q^{-jl} q^{\frac{s(i+l')(m+j)-(m+j)'}{n}} \binom{l+m}{l}_{q^{-s}q^{-1}} p_{(i+j)'}^{l+m}.$$

Let d be the order of q . Clearly, $d|n$ if $s = 0$ and $d = \frac{n^2}{(s, n^2)}$ if $1 \leq s \leq n-1$. Let $kZ_n(d)$ denote the subcoalgebra of kZ_n which as a k -space is spanned by $\{p_i^l \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1, 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$. The multiplication (2.1) is closed inside $kZ_n(d)$ and it becomes a graded coquasi-Hopf algebra, denoted by $M(n, s, q)$.

2.3. A pointed tensor category of finite type is a tensor category in which there are only finitely many iso-classes of indecomposable objects and whose simple objects are invertible, see [7, 13].

By $\mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$ we denote the category of finite-dimensional right $M(n, s, q)$ -comodules. It is proved in [13] that any pointed tensor category of finite type consists of finitely many identical components, and the connected component containing the neutral object is equivalent to a deformation of some $\mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$, and See [13, Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.4] for a full description.

In this paper we focus on the Clebsch-Gordan formulae and the Green ring of $\mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$. The results can be easily extended to the non-connected case. Now we give an explicit presentation of $\mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$ by quiver representations. Recall that a representation of the quiver Z_n is a collection $V = (V_i, T_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n-1}$ where V_i is a vector space corresponding to the vertex g^i and $T_i : V_i \rightarrow V_{i+1}$ is a linear map corresponding to the arrow a_i . Given a path p_i^l , we define a corresponding linear map T_i^l as follows. If $l = 0$, then put $T_i^0 = \text{Id}_{V_i}$. If $l > 0$, put $T_i^l = T_{i+l-1} \cdots T_{i+1} T_i$. The category $\mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$ consists of the representations V of Z_n such that $T_i^l = 0$ whenever $l \geq d$.

The indecomposable objects of $\mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$ can be described as follows. Assume $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq e \leq d-1$. Let $V(i, e)$ be a vector space of dimension $e+1$ with a basis $\{v_m^i\}_{0 \leq m \leq e}$. $V(i, e)$ is made into a representation of Z_n by putting $V(i, e)_j$ the k -span of $\{v_m^i | (i+m)' = j\}$ and letting $T(i, e)_j$ maps v_m^i to v_{m+1}^i if $(i+m)' = j$. Clearly $V(i, e)$ is an object in $\mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$ and $\{V(i, e)\}_{0 \leq i \leq n-1, 0 \leq e \leq d-1}$ is a complete set of iso-classes of indecomposable objects of $\mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$.

2.4. For later computations, we need to know how the quiver representation $V(i, e)$ is viewed as a right $M(n, s, q)$ -comodule. Let

$$\delta : V(i, e) \rightarrow V(i, e) \otimes M(n, s, q)$$

denote the comodule structure map, then

$$(2.3) \quad \delta(v_m^i) = \sum_{x=m}^e v_x^i \otimes p_{(i+m)'}^{x-m}.$$

For any two indecomposable objects $V(i, e)$ and $V(j, m)$ of $\mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$, the comodule structure map of their tensor product $V(i, e) \otimes V(j, m)$ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \delta(v_a^i \otimes v_b^j) = \sum_{x=s}^e \sum_{y=t}^m C_{(i,a)(j,b)}^{(i,x)(j,y)} v_x^i \otimes v_y^j \otimes p_{(i+a+j+b)'}^{x+y-a-b},$$

where $C_{(i,a)(j,b)}^{(i,x)(j,y)}$ is the coefficient of the product $p_{(i+a)'}^{x-a} \cdot p_{(j+b)'}^{y-b}$, as given in (2.2). It is clear that $C_{(i,a)(j,b)}^{(i,x)(j,y)} = 0$ whenever $x+y-a-b \geq d$.

3. THE CLEBSCH-GORDAN FORMULAE

In this section we compute the complete decomposition of $V(i, e) \otimes V(j, f)$ with the help of some techniques from quivers and their representations.

3.1. Reduction of $V(i, e) \otimes V(j, f)$ to $V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f)$. First of all, we list some useful facts of indecomposable comodules of $M(n, s, q)$.

Lemma 3.1.

$$(3.1) \quad V(0, 0) \otimes V(i, e) \cong V(i, e) = V(i, e) \otimes V(0, 0),$$

$$(3.2) \quad V(1, 0)^{\otimes l} = V(l', 0), \quad V(1, 0)^{\otimes n} = V(0, 0),$$

$$(3.3) \quad V(1, 0) \otimes V(i, e) = V((i+1)', e) = V(i, e) \otimes V(1, 0), \\ \forall 0 \leq i \leq n-1, 0 \leq e \leq d-1.$$

Proof. (3.1) and (3.2) are easy by definition. We prove only (3.3). Let $\{v_0^1\}$ and $\{v_k^i\}_{0 \leq k \leq e}$ be respectively a basis of $V(1, 0)$ and $V(i, e)$. Then $\{v_0^1 \otimes v_k^i\}_{0 \leq k \leq e}$ is a basis of $V(1, 0) \otimes V(i, e)$ and the comodule structure is given by

$$(3.4) \quad \delta(v_0^1 \otimes v_k^i) = \sum_{x=k}^e C_x v_0^1 \otimes v_x^i \otimes p_{(i+k+1)'}^{x-k}.$$

Note that $C_x \neq 0$ by (2.4). Now view $V(1, 0) \otimes V(i, e)$ as a representation of Z_n . (2.4) implies that $v_0^1 \otimes v_k^i$ should lie in the vector space attached to the vertex $g^{(i+k+1)'}$. On the other hand, by (3.2) $V(1, 0)$ is invertible. It follows that $V(1, 0) \otimes V(i, e)$ is indecomposable. Now by comparing it with the complete set of iso-classes of indecomposable comodules of $M(n, s, q)$, it is easy to see that $V(1, 0) \otimes V(i, e) = V((i+1)', e)$. Similarly, we have $V(i, e) \otimes V(1, 0) = V((i+1)', e)$. \square

Thanks to the lemma, we can reduce the decomposition of $V(i, e) \otimes V(j, f)$ to $V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f)$.

Corollary 3.2.

$$(3.5) \quad V(i, e) = V(1, 0)^{\otimes i} \otimes V(0, e) = V(0, e) \otimes V(1, 0)^{\otimes i},$$

$$(3.6) \quad V(i, e) \otimes V(j, f) = V(1, 0)^{\otimes(i+j)} \otimes V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f).$$

Proof. Follows easily from Lemma 3.1. \square

3.2. Decomposition of $V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f)$ at vertices. In the rest of this section, we write $V = V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f)$ for brevity. View V as a representation of Z_n and let V_i denote the corresponding subspace attached to the vertex g^i . Note that $V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} V_i$ as a vector space. This is the so-called decomposition at vertices, providing essential information for us to detect its direct summands in the next subsection.

With the same notations as in Subsection 2.3, we have a basis $\{v_k^0 \otimes v_l^0\}_{0 \leq k \leq e, 0 \leq l \leq f}$ of V . By (2.4), it is clear that

$$(3.7) \quad V_i = \mathbb{C}\{v_k^0 \otimes v_l^0 | (k+l)' = i, 0 \leq k \leq e, 0 \leq l \leq f\}$$

and hence its dimension is given by

$$(3.8) \quad \dim V_i = |\{(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : (k+l)' = i, 0 \leq k \leq e, 0 \leq l \leq f\}|.$$

In order to give an explicit formula for $\dim V_i$, we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.3. For integers $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1$, let

$$N_{(\alpha, \beta)}^k = |\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : (x+y)' = k, 0 \leq x \leq \alpha, 0 \leq y \leq \beta\}|.$$

If $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta \leq n-1$, then

$$(3.9) \quad N_{(\alpha, \beta)}^k = \begin{cases} k+1, & 0 \leq k \leq \alpha, \\ \alpha+1, & \alpha \leq k \leq \beta, \\ \alpha+\beta+1-k, & \beta \leq k \leq \alpha+\beta; \end{cases}$$

if $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta \geq n$, set $\gamma = \alpha + \beta - n + 1$, then

$$(3.10) \quad N_{(\alpha, \beta)}^k = \begin{cases} \gamma+1, & 0 \leq k \leq \gamma, \\ k+1, & \gamma \leq k \leq \alpha, \\ \alpha+1, & \alpha \leq k \leq \beta, \\ \alpha+\beta+1-k, & \beta \leq k \leq n-1; \end{cases}$$

if $\alpha > \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta \leq n-1$, then

$$(3.11) \quad N_{(\alpha, \beta)}^k = \begin{cases} k+1, & 0 \leq k \leq \beta, \\ \beta+1, & \beta \leq k \leq \alpha, \\ \beta+\alpha+1-k, & \alpha \leq k \leq \alpha+\beta; \end{cases}$$

if $\alpha > \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta \geq n$, set γ as above, then

$$(3.12) \quad N_{(\alpha, \beta)}^k = \begin{cases} \gamma+1, & 0 \leq k \leq \gamma, \\ k+1, & \gamma \leq k \leq \beta, \\ \beta+1, & \beta \leq k \leq \alpha, \\ \alpha+\beta+1-k, & \alpha \leq k \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By direct computation. □

Lemma 3.4.

$$(3.13) \quad \dim V_i = \frac{ef - e'f'}{n} + \frac{e + f - e' - f'}{n} + N_{(e', f')}^i.$$

Proof. Write $e = \alpha n + e'$, $f = \beta n + f'$ and

$$\{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq e, 0 \leq y \leq f\} = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3 \cup U_4$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq \alpha n - 1, 0 \leq y \leq \beta n - 1\}, \\ U_2 &= \{(x, y) : \alpha n \leq x \leq e, 0 \leq y \leq \beta n - 1\}, \\ U_3 &= \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq \alpha n - 1, \beta n \leq y \leq f\}, \\ U_4 &= \{(x, y) : \alpha n \leq x \leq e, \beta n \leq y \leq f\}. \end{aligned}$$

By easy computation and Lemma 3.3 one has:

$$\begin{aligned} |\{(x, y) \in U_1 : (x+y)' = i\}| &= n\alpha\beta, \\ |\{(x, y) \in U_2 : (x+y)' = i\}| &= \beta(e' + 1), \\ |\{(x, y) \in U_3 : (x+y)' = i\}| &= \alpha(f' + 1), \\ |\{(x, y) \in U_4 : (x+y)' = i\}| &= N_{(e', f')}^i. \end{aligned}$$

Now we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V_i &= n\alpha\beta + \alpha f' + e'\beta + \alpha + \beta + N_{(e', f')}^i \\ &= \frac{ef - e'f'}{n} + \frac{e + f - e' - f'}{n} + N_{(e', f')}^i. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.3. The Clebsh-Gordan formulae. The main objective of this subsection is to compute the decomposition of $V = V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f)$ which will easily lead to the general Clebsh-Gordan formulae for $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$.

By $S_i(U)$ we denote the number of direct summands of the form $V(i, ?)$ that appear in the decomposition of $U \in \mathcal{C}$. As in Subsection 2.3, we view U as a representation of the quiver Z_n and let $T_i : U_i \rightarrow U_{i+1}$ be the linear map attached to the arrow a_i . Write

$$U'_i = \ker T_i = \{x \in U_i : T_i(x) = 0\}.$$

Lemma 3.5.

$$(3.14) \quad S_i(U) = \dim U_i - \dim U_{(i-1)'} + \dim U'_{(i-1)'}$$

Proof. If $U = V(i, l)$, then one observes that

$$\dim U_i - \dim U_{(i-1)'} + \dim U'_{(i-1)'} = 1 = S_i(U);$$

if $U = V(m, l)$ with $m \neq i$, one has

$$\dim U_i - \dim U_{(i-1)'} + \dim U'_{(i-1)'} = 0 = S_i(U).$$

In general, if $U = \oplus V(j, l)$, then $U_i = \oplus V(j, l)_i$ and $U'_i = \oplus V(j, l)'_i$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_i(U) &= \sum S_i(V(j, l)) \\ &= \sum [\dim V(j, l)_i - \dim V(j, l)_{(i-1)'} + \dim V(j, l)'_i] \\ &= \dim U_i - \dim U_{(i-1)'} + \dim U'_{(i-1)'}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we come back to $V = V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f)$. To compute $S_i(V)$, it suffices to determine $\dim V'_i$.

Proposition 3.6.

$$(3.15) \quad \dim V'_i = \begin{cases} |\{j : f - e \leq j \leq f, (e + j)' = i\}|, & \text{if } e \leq f; \\ |\{j : e - f \leq j \leq e, (e + j)' = i\}|, & \text{if } e > f. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Clearly the two cases are symmetric, so it is enough to prove one of them. Assume $e \leq f$. Take the bases $\{v_l^0\}_{0 \leq l \leq e}$ and $\{v_m^0\}_{0 \leq m \leq f}$ for $V(0, e)$ and $V(0, f)$ respectively as before. Assume $u \in V'_i$. We can write

$$u = \sum_{(l+m)'=i} \lambda_{lm} v_l^0 \otimes v_m^0 = \sum_x \sum_{l+m=nx+i} \lambda_{lm} v_l^0 \otimes v_m^0.$$

Let $u_x = \sum_{l+m=nx+i} \lambda_{lm} v_l^0 \otimes v_m^0$. Then it is clear that $T(u) = 0$ if and only if $T(u_x) = 0, \forall x$. Rewrite $u_x = \lambda_1 v_{l_1}^0 \otimes v_{m_1}^0 + \dots + \lambda_y v_{l_y}^0 \otimes v_{m_y}^0$ with $\lambda_k \neq 0, \forall k$, such that $l_1 > \dots > l_y$ and hence $m_1 < \dots < m_y$. Recall that:

$$T_i(v_x^0 \otimes v_y^0) = \mathfrak{q}^{-sy} q^{-y} v_{x+1}^0 \otimes v_y^0 + \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{sx[1+y-(1+y)']}{n}} v_x^0 \otimes v_{y+1}^0$$

if $x < e, y < f$. It follows that if $l_1 \neq e$, we have:

$$T_i(u_x) = \lambda_1 \mathfrak{q}^{-sm_1} q^{-m_1} v_{l_1+1}^0 \otimes v_{m_1}^0 + \sum \text{other terms} \neq 0.$$

This forces $l_1 = e$ if $T_i(u_x) = 0$. By a similar argument one has $m_y = f$ if $T_i(u_x) = 0$. Clearly it is necessary that $l_1 \leq f$ and the subindex of the first factor of the last term $e + l_1 - f \geq 0$. That is, $f - e \leq l_1 \leq f$.

By appropriate rescaling u_x is of the following form

$$u_x = v_e^0 \otimes v_j^0 + a_1 v_{e-1}^0 \otimes v_{j+1}^0 + \dots + a_{(f-j)} v_{e+j-f}^0 \otimes v_f^0$$

with $j = nx + i - e$ and $f - e \leq j \leq f$. By imposing the condition $T_i(u_x) = 0$ one can solve out a unique set of the a_i 's by recursion. As the explicit solution will not be used in later argument, we omit the calculation.

Now we have proved that V'_i is spanned by elements like u_x . Clearly the u_x 's are linearly independent. Therefore, the dimension of V'_i is equal to the number of such u_x 's, which is exactly $|\{j : f - e \leq j \leq f, (e + j)' = i\}|$. \square

With this preparation, now we can compute $S_i = S_i(V)$.

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose $e = \alpha n + e'$, $f = \beta n + f'$. Then we have:*

$$(3.16) \quad S_i = \begin{cases} \alpha + 1, & e \leq f, 0 \leq i \leq e'; \\ \alpha, & e \leq f, e' < i \leq n - 1; \\ \beta + 1, & e > f, 0 \leq i \leq f'; \\ \beta, & e > f, f' < i \leq n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove only the cases with $e \leq f$. Write d^i for $\dim V'_i$. Assume first $e' + f' \leq n - 1$ and $e' \leq f'$.

If $e' + f' < n - 1$, by applying Lemmas 3.3-3.5 we have:

$$S_i = \begin{cases} d^{i-1} + 1, & 0 \leq i \leq e'; \\ d^{i-1}, & e' + 1 \leq i \leq f'; \\ d^{i-1} - 1, & f' + 1 \leq i \leq e' + f' + 1; \\ d^{i-1}, & e' + f' + 2 \leq i \leq n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Further application of Proposition 3.6 leads to:

$$S_i = \begin{cases} \alpha + 1, & 0 \leq i \leq e'; \\ \alpha, & e' + 1 \leq i \leq f'; \\ \alpha, & f' + 1 \leq i \leq e' + f' + 1; \\ \alpha, & e' + f' + 2 \leq i \leq n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $e' + f' + 1 = n - 1$, the last case actually vanishes.

If $e' + f' = n - 1$, then by Lemmas 3.3-3.5 we have:

$$S_i = \begin{cases} d^{i-1} + 1, & i = 0; \\ d^{i-1}, & 1 \leq i \leq e'; \\ d^{i-1} - 1, & e' + 1 \leq i \leq f'; \\ d^{i-1}, & f' + 1 \leq i \leq e' + f' = n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Following Proposition 3.6 we have:

$$S_i = \begin{cases} \alpha + 1, & i = 0; \\ \alpha + 1, & 1 \leq i \leq e'; \\ \alpha, & e' + 1 \leq i \leq f'; \\ \alpha, & f' + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$S_i = \begin{cases} \alpha + 1, & 0 \leq i \leq e'; \\ \alpha, & e' + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1. \end{cases}$$

The remaining three cases with $e' + f' \leq n - 1, e' > f'; e' + f' \geq n, e' \leq f'$ and $e' + f' \geq n, e' > f'$ respectively can be dealt with by the same process, so the detail is omitted. \square

From now on, we shall understand $V(i, ?)$ as $V(i', ?)$ if $i \geq n$. With this notation, we have the following nice form for the complete decomposition of $V = V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f)$.

Corollary 3.8.

$$(3.17) \quad V = \begin{cases} V(0, ?) \oplus V(1, ?) \oplus \cdots \oplus V(e, ?), & \text{if } e \leq f; \\ V(0, ?) \oplus V(1, ?) \oplus \cdots \oplus V(f, ?), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Direct consequence of Proposition 3.7. \square

Now we are in the position to give the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.9. *If $e + f \leq d - 1$, then*

$$(3.18) \quad V = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i=0}^e V(i, e + f - 2i), & \text{if } e \leq f; \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^f V(i, e + f - 2i), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $e + f \geq d$, set $\gamma = e + f - d + 1$, then

$$(3.19) \quad V = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\gamma} V(i, d - 1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=\gamma+1}^e V(j, e + f - 2j), & \text{if } e \leq f; \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\gamma} V(i, d - 1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=\gamma+1}^f V(j, e + f - 2j), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. As before, it is enough to show the case with $e \leq f$. We prove by induction on e . First of all we consider the case with $e + f \leq d - 1$. When $e = 0$, the claim is trivial. Consider $e = 1$. By Corollary 3.8, we know $V(0, 1) \otimes V(0, f) = V(0, l) \oplus V(1, m)$ for some l, m .

Now we view V as a quiver representation and keep the same notations as in Section 2. Note that, under our assumptions, $f < d - 1$, $T_1^f(V) \neq 0$ and $T_1^{f+1}(V) = 0$. It follows that $m \leq f$ and so $T_0^f(V(1, m)) = 0$. On the other hand, $T_0^{f+1}(V) \neq 0$ and $T_0^{f+2}(V) = 0$ imply

that $T_0^{f+1}(V(0, l)) \neq 0$ and $T_0^{f+2}(V(0, l)) = 0$. That is to say, $l = f + 1$. By comparing the dimensions, we get $m = f - 1$. Thus we have:

$$(3.20) \quad V(0, 1) \otimes V(0, f) = V(0, f + 1) \oplus V(1, f - 1).$$

Similarly, one can prove that:

$$(3.21) \quad V(0, f) \otimes V(0, 1) = V(0, f + 1) \oplus V(1, f - 1).$$

In particular, we obtain that:

$$(3.22) \quad V(0, 1) \otimes V(0, f) = V(0, f) \otimes V(0, 1).$$

Now we assume $1 < e \leq f$ and $e + f \leq d - 1$. By (3.21) we have

$$(3.23) \quad [V(0, e) \oplus V(1, e - 2)] \otimes V(0, f) = V(0, e - 1) \otimes V(0, 1) \otimes V(0, f).$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(1, e - 2) \otimes V(0, f) &= V(1, 0) \otimes V(0, e - 2) \otimes V(0, f) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{e-2} V(i + 1, e - 2 + f - 2i), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &V(0, e - 1) \otimes V(0, 1) \otimes V(0, f) \\ &= V(0, e - 1) \otimes [V(0, f + 1) \oplus V(1, f - 1)] \\ &= [V(0, e - 1) \otimes V(0, f + 1)] \oplus [(V(1, 0) \otimes V(0, e - 1) \otimes V(0, f - 1))] \\ &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{e-1} V(i, e + f - 2i) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{e-1} V(j + 1, e + f - 2 - 2j). \end{aligned}$$

By subtracting the foregoing two identities, we obtain:

$$V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^e V(i, e + f - 2i).$$

Next we prove the case with $e + f \geq d$. We consider first the case with $f = d - 1$. By Corollary 3.8 and by comparing the dimensions and the numbers of direct summands one can easily obtain:

$$(3.24) \quad V(0, e) \otimes V(0, d - 1) = V(0, d - 1) \otimes V(0, e) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^e V(i, d - 1).$$

In the rest of the proof, we can always assume $e \leq f < d - 1$, hence $e \leq d - 2$.

For the general situation, we split it into three cases. Namely, $e + f = d$, $e + f = d + 1$, and $e + f > d + 1$.

If $e + f = d$, by the induction hypothesis we have:

$$\begin{aligned} V(1, e - 2) \otimes V(0, f) &= V(1, 0) \otimes V(0, e - 2) \otimes V(0, f) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{e-2} V(i + 1, e + f - 2 - 2i) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& V(0, e-1) \otimes V(0, 1) \otimes V(0, f) \\
&= [V(0, e-1) \otimes V(0, f+1)] \oplus [V(0, e-1) \otimes V(1, f-1)] \\
&= \bigoplus_{i=0}^1 V(i, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^{e-1} V(j, e+f-2j) \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{e-1} V(k+1, e+f-2-2k).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.23), we obtain the following by subtracting the above two identities:

$$V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^1 V(i, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^e V(j, e+f-2j).$$

If $e+f = d+1$, by induction assumption we have

$$V(1, e-2) \otimes V(0, f) = V(1, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{e-2} V(i+1, e+f-2-2i)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& V(0, e-1) \otimes V(0, 1) \otimes V(0, f) \\
&= [V(0, e-1) \otimes V(0, f+1)] \oplus [V(0, e-1) \otimes V(1, f-1)] \\
&= \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 V(i, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=3}^{e-1} V(j, e+f-2j) \\
&\quad \oplus V(1, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{e-1} V(k+1, e+f-2-2k).
\end{aligned}$$

Again subtracting the foregoing two identities gives us:

$$V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 V(i, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=3}^e V(j, e+f-2j).$$

If $e+f > d+1$, then $\gamma = e+f-d+1 > 2$ and by induction hypothesis we have:

$$V(1, e-2) \otimes V(0, f) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\gamma-2} V(i+1, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=\gamma-1}^{e-2} V(j, e+f-2-2j).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& V(0, e-1) \otimes V(0, 1) \otimes V(0, f) \\
&= [V(0, e-1) \otimes V(0, f+1)] \oplus [V(0, e-1) \otimes V(1, f-1)] \\
&= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\gamma} V(i, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=\gamma+1}^{e-1} V(j, e+f-2j) \\
&\quad \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\gamma-2} V(k+1, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=\gamma-1}^{e-1} V(l+1, e+f-2-2l).
\end{aligned}$$

By subtracting the above two identities, we obtain:

$$V(0, e) \otimes V(0, f) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\gamma} V(i, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=\gamma+1}^e V(j, e+f-2j).$$

Thus the proof is completed. \square

Now by combining Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.9, we arrive at the general Clebsh-Gordan formulae of \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 3.10. *Let $V = V(i, e) \otimes V(j, f)$. If $e + f \leq d - 1$, then*

$$(3.25) \quad V = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{k=0}^e V(i+j+k, e+f-2k), & \text{if } e \leq f; \\ \bigoplus_{k=0}^f V(i+j+k, e+f-2k), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $e + f \geq d$, set $\gamma = e + f - d + 1$, then

$$(3.26) \quad V = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\gamma} V(i+j+k, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=\gamma+1}^e V(i+j+l, e+f-2l), & \text{if } e \leq f; \\ \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\gamma} V(i+j+k, d-1) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=\gamma+1}^f V(i+j+l, e+f-2l), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.11. *As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.9, we obtain the commutativity of the tensor product in \mathcal{C} :*

$$(3.27) \quad V(i, e) \otimes V(j, f) = V(j, f) \otimes V(i, e).$$

4. THE GREEN RINGS

Denote by $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$ the Green ring of $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$. So far we know that $\{[V(i, l)] : 0 \leq i \leq n-1, 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$ form a basis of $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$ and Theorem 3.9 provides the ring structure with explicit structure constants. The aim of this section is to present the Green ring $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$ by generators and relations.

We start with the following lemma which summarizes some important information of $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$ provided by the previous sections.

Lemma 4.1. *Let 1 denote the identity of $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$. Then we have*

- 1) $[V(1, 0)]^n = [V(0, 0)] = 1$.
- 2) $[V(0, l)] = [V(0, 1)][V(0, l-1)] - [V(1, 0)][V(0, l-2)], \quad \forall 2 \leq l \leq d-1$.
- 3) $[V(0, 1)][V(0, d-1)] = [V(0, d-1)] + [V(1, 0)][V(0, d-1)]$.

Proof. 1) Follows from Lemma 3.1.

2) Direct consequence of (3.20).

3) Direct consequence of (3.24). \square

To present the Green ring $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$, we need the generalized Fibonacci polynomials used in [3, 14]. Set $f_1(x, y) = 1$ and $f_2(x, y) = y$, then define recursively

$$(4.1) \quad f_i(x, y) = yf_{i-1}(x, y) - xf_{i-2}(x, y), \quad \forall i > 2.$$

Lemma 4.2. [3, Lemma 3.11] *For all $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$f_n(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i \binom{n-1-i}{i} x^i y^{n-1-2i}.$$

Now we have the following expression of element $[V(i, l)]$.

Lemma 4.3. *In the ring $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$*

$$[V(i, l)] = [V(1, 0)]^i f_{l+1}([V(1, 0)], [V(0, 1)]), \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad l \leq d-1.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by $[V(1, 0)]$ and $[V(0, 1)]$.

Proof. Direct consequence of Lemma 4.1 2) and Lemma 4.2. □

Finally we are ready to present the Green ring $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ be the polynomial ring over \mathbb{Z} with two variables x and y . By J we denote the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ generated by $\{x^n - 1, (y - x - 1)f_d(x, y)\}$. The Green ring $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/J$.*

Proof. Define a ring homomorphism by

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathbb{Z}[x, y] &\longrightarrow \mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C}) \\ x &\mapsto [V(1, 0)], \\ y &\mapsto [V(0, 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.3, F is surjective. Moreover, by 1) and 3) of Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3 with $i = 0$ and $l = d - 1$, it is easy to see that $J \subset \ker F$. So F induces a ring epimorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F} : \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/J &\longrightarrow \mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C}) \\ \overline{x} &\mapsto [V(1, 0)], \\ \overline{y} &\mapsto [V(0, 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\{\overline{x}^i \overline{y}^j\}_{0 \leq i \leq n-1, 0 \leq j \leq d-1}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/J$ hence its \mathbb{Z} -dimension is nd , which is equal to the \mathbb{Z} -dimension of $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C})$. That is, the map \overline{F} is an isomorphism. □

We conclude the paper with the following remarks.

Remark 4.5. *Keep the same notations n, d, s, q, Z_n and $\mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$ as in Section 2.*

- (1) *If one prefers to work on a path algebra rather than a path coalgebra, then one can realize $\mathcal{C}(n, s, q)$ as the representation category of the quasi-Hopf algebra $H(n, s, q)$ which is dual to $M(n, s, q)$. As an algebra, $H(n, s, q)$ is isomorphic to kZ_n^a/J^d where kZ_n^a is the associated path algebra of the quiver Z_n and J is the ideal generated by the set of arrows of Z_n .*
- (2) *When $s = 0$, then $d = \text{ord } q$ is a factor of n and $M(n, 0, q)$ is an nd -dimensional Hopf algebra. The dual of $M(n, 0, q)$ was considered in [5] by Cibils and the corresponding Clebsch-Gordan formulae were obtained there.*
- (3) *When $s = 0$ and $\text{ord } q = n$, the algebra $M(n, 0, q)$ is the Taft Hopf algebra [15] whose Green ring $r(H_n(q))$ (of representation category) was presented in [3]. It is well-known that the Taft algebra is self-dual, hence $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C}(n, 0, q))$ and $r(H_n(q))$ coincide.*

- (4) *The Green rings $r(H_{n,d})$ of the generalized Taft algebras [12] were presented in [14]. It turns out that the representation category of $H_{n,d}$ is a pointed tensor category of finite type, but not connected. The category can be presented as n/d copies of $\mathcal{C}(d, 0, q)$ with $d = \text{ord } q$, see [12, 13]. The dual of $H_{n,d}$ is exactly $M(n, 0, q)$ with $d = \text{ord } q$, see [4]. Thus, the Green ring $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C}(n, 0, q))$ is the Green ring of the corepresentation category of $H_{n,d}$, or the Green ring of the Hopf algebra $H_{n,d}^*$. As the generalized Taft algebras $H_{n,d}$ are not self-dual if $n \neq d$, so it is natural that $\mathcal{GR}(\mathcal{C}(n, 0, q))$ and $r(H_{n,d})$ are not isomorphic.*

Acknowledgements: The research of Huang was partially supported by the SDNSF grant 2009ZRA01128 and the IIFSDU grant 2010TS021. The paper was written while Huang was visiting the University of Antwerp and he is very grateful for its hospitality. He acknowledges the Belgian FWO for the financial support which makes the visit possible.

REFERENCES

- [1] Assem, I.; Simson, D.; Skowronski, A.: *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras. Vol. 1. Techniques of Representation Theory*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [2] Benson, D.J.: *Representations and Cohomology: Volume 1, Basic Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 30, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [3] Chen, H.; Van Oystaeyen, F.; Zhang, Y.: *The Green rings of Taft algebras*. Proceedings of the AMS, to appear. arXiv:1111.1837v2 [math.RT].
- [4] Chen, X.-W.; Huang, H.-L.; Ye, Y.; Zhang, P.: *Monomial Hopf algebras*. J. Algebra 275 (2004), no. 1, 212-232.
- [5] Cibils, C.: *A quiver quantum group*. Commun. Math. Phys. 157 (1993), 459-477.
- [6] Cibils, C.; Rosso, M.: *Hopf quivers*. J. Algebra 254 (2002), no. 2, 241-251.
- [7] Etingof, P.; Gelaki, S.; Nikshych, D.; Ostrik, V.: *Tensor categories*. Lecture note for the MIT course 18.769, 2009. available at: www-math.mit.edu/~etingof/tenscat.pdf
- [8] Etingof, P.; Ostrik, V.: *Finite tensor categories*. Moscow Math. J. 4 (2004) 627-654.
- [9] Green, J.A.: *The modular representation algebra of a finite group*. Illinois J. Math. 6(4) (1962), 607-619.
- [10] Huang, H.-L.: *Quiver approaches to quasi-Hopf algebras*. J. Math. Phys. **50**(4) (2009) 043501, 9pp.
- [11] Huang, H.-L.: *From projective representations to quasi-quantum groups*. Sci. China Math., 55 (2012) 2067-2080.
- [12] Huang, H.-L.; Chen, H.; Zhang, P.: *Generalized Taft algebras*. Algebra Colloq. 11 (2004), no. 3, 313C320.
- [13] Huang, H.-L.; Liu, G.; Ye, Y.: *Quivers, quasi-quantum groups and finite tensor categories*. Comm. Math. Phys. 303 (2011) 595-612.
- [14] Li, L.; Zhang, Y.: *The Green rings of the generalized Taft Hopf algebras*. To appear in Contemporary Math. AMS, Proceedings of Hopf Algebra and Tensor Categories conference in Almeria, 2011. arXiv:1210.4245 [math.RT].
- [15] Taft, E.J.: *The order of the antipode of finite dimensional Hopf algebras*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 68 (1971) 2631C2633.
- [16] Witherspoon, S.J.: *The representation ring of the quantum double of a finite group*. J. Algebra 179 (1996), no. 1, 305-329.
- [17] Witherspoon, S.J.: *The representation ring of the twisted quantum double of a finite group*. Canad. J. Math. 48 (1996), no. 6, 1324-1338.
- [18] Woodcock, D.: *Some categorical remarks on the representation theory of coalgebras*. Comm. Algebra 25 (1997) 2775-2794.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN 250100, CHINA

E-mail address: `hualin@sdu.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ANTWERP, MIDDELHEIMLAAN 1,
B-2020 ANTWERP, BELGIUM

E-mail address: `fred.vanoystaeyen@ua.ac.be`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN 250100, CHINA

E-mail address: `yuping.yang@mail.sdu.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT WNI, UNIVERSITY OF HASSELT, UNIVERSITAIRE CAMPUS, 3590 DIEPEENBEEK, BELGIUM

E-mail address: `yinhuo.zhang@uhasselt.be`